



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/523,877	03/13/2000	Peter Warnes	ARC.005A	6131
27299	7590 08/07/2003			
GAZDZINSKI & ASSOCIÁTES			EXAMINER	
	Г BERNARDO COURT, ), CA 92127	UITE 375 HUISMAN, DAVID J		DAVID J
		•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2183	16
			DATE MAILED: 08/07/2003	15

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The

	<del></del>		
<b>•</b>	Application No.	Applicant(s)	>//
Advisory Action	09/523,877	WARNES ET AL.	//
•	Examiner	Art Unit	
	David J. Huisman	2183	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	ppears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence addr	ess
THE REPLY FILED 31 July 2003 FAILS TO PLACE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Ap Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	o avoid abandonment of th ": (1) a timely filed amendm peal (with appeal fee); or (	is application. A proper replent which places the applic	ly to a ation in
PERIOD FOR	REPLY [check either a) or	b)]	
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the maili b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire late ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY W	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set er than SIX MONTHS from the mail	ing date of the final rejection.	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shorte (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	e date on which the petition under 3 dension and the corresponding amo ened statutory period for reply origin	7 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate ount of the fee. The appropriate exte ally set in the final Office action; or (	extension fee ension fee under 2) as set forth in
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appella 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 ct.)		•	
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered	d because:		
(a) 🛛 they raise new issues that would require fu	rther consideration and/or	search (see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see No	te below);		
(c) \( \sum \) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	on in better form for appea	by materially reducing or s	implifying the
(d) they present additional claims without can	celing a corresponding nur	nber of finally rejected clain	ns.
NOTE: see attached sheet.			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following re	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) wo canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	uld be allowable if submitte	ed in a separate, timely filed	amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request application in condition for allowance because:		en considered but does NO	T place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	because it is not directed S	SOLELY to issues which wer	e newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendm explanation of how the new or amended claims			and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follow	ws:		
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: 1-5, 14-20, 23, and 25-43, as s	set forth in the final rejection, r	nailed on May 29, 2003.	
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on	_ is a) □ approved or b) □	disapproved by the Exam	iner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure State	ment(s)( PTO-1449) Paper	No(s)	A//
10. Other:		RICHARD PRIMARY E	L. ELLIS EXAMINER

Claim 1 has been amended to include at least one user-definable mode, where the user-configurable and user-definable modes are each specified by the same ones of said plurality of bits. These added limitations have narrowed the scope of independent claim 1, and consequently, further search and consideration is required on behalf of the exmainer.